APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION ((JD): March 17, 202	3
----	--------------------------------------------------------------------	-----	------------------	---

В.	DISTRICT OFFICE	, FILE NAME,	AND NUMBER:	JD Form 1	l of 3; SAC-2021	-01806 Colvin Tracts
----	-----------------	--------------	-------------	-----------	------------------	----------------------

B.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: JD Form 1 of 3; SAC-2021-01806 Colvin Tracts
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Berkeley County City: Ridgeville Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.1413°, Long80.2894°. Universal Transverse Mercator: Name of nearest waterbody: Timothy Creek
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: N/A Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03050205 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: Field Determination. Date(s): 1/24/2023
SEC	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
	RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): ³ ☑ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The project review area includes seven (7) wetlands that were determined to be isolated. Wetlands I, J, K, L, M, N, and O are surrounded by uplands, positioned lower in elevation than the surrounding uplands, and have no ditches or swales flowing out of them that would provide a surface hydrologic connection to a water of the U.S.

Additionally, there is no evidence that there are discrete flow patterns of water through the uplands from the wetlands to the linear conveyances and then to the receiving tributary. There is also no apparent ecological interconnectivity

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

from the wetlands to waters of the US and there appears to be no subsurface hydrologic connection, and no physical, chemical, or biological connection, to waters of the U.S. It is important to note that Wetlands N and O are located near roadside linear conveyances, but are separated from the linear conveyances by uplands. Therefore, Wetlands I, J, K, L, M, N, and Owhich total 4.15 acres, were determined to be isolated, non-jurisdictional and not subject to regulation under Section 404 of the CWA.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	TNW
	Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: Pick List
Drainage area: Pick List
Average annual rainfall: inches
Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through Pick List tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are Project waters are Project waters are Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW.

Pick List river miles from RPW.

Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

	Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
	Identify flow route to TNW ⁵ : Tributary stream order, if known:
(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: feet Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Pick List.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics:
	Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by:
Che	mical Characteristics:

(iii)

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. ⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

This is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

				racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: .tify specific pollutants, if known:
(iv)	Biol	logica	al Ch	Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
	2.	Cha	ract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
		(i)		Sical Characteristics: General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
			(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List. Explain:
				Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: .
				Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
			(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: .
			(d)	Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.
		(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: aracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: artify specific pollutants, if known:
		(iii)		logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
	3.	Cha	All	wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List proximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres) Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Size (in acres)

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALI
	THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
	TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that
	tributary is perennial: .
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are
	jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows
	seasonally: .

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. ⁹ As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
DE SUC	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

E.

 ⁸See Footnote # 3.
 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above): .
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: 4.15 acres. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres. Utilityee of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Newkirk Environmental. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Concurs with conclusions. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:
	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Meggett loam National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: PFO1C, PFO1/2C. State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): 1/28/2001. or Other (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Wetlands I, J, K, L, M, N, and O were determined to be isolated and non-jurisdictional, this they are not regulated by Section 404 of the CWA. The jurisdictional status of the remaining aquatic resources and features are discussed on forms 2 and 3.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SEC	CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION
A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): March 17, 2023
В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: JD Form 2 of 3: SAC-2021-01806 Colvin Tracts

B.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: JD Form 2 of 3; SAC-2021-01806 Colvin Tracts
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Berkeley County City: Ridgeville Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.1413°, Long80.2894°. Universal Transverse Mercator: Name of nearest waterbody: Four Hole Swamp Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Edisto River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03050205 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
	Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 3/10/23 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): 1/24/2023
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce Explain:
В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: Wetland B: 5.68 acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): ³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional Explain:
SF	TION III. CWA ANAI VSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	TNW Identify TNW: .	
	Summarize rationale supporting determination: .	
2.	Wetland adjacent to TNW Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":	

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i)	Ger	neral Area Conditions:
	Wat	tershed size: Pick List
	Dra	inage area: Pick List
	Ave	erage annual rainfall: inches
	Ave	erage annual snowfall: inches
(ii)	Phy	vsical Characteristics:
` '	(a)	Relationship with TNW:
	. ,	Tributary flows directly into TNW.
		Tributary flows through Pick List tributaries before entering TNW.
		Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW.
		Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW.
		Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
		Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
		Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .
		Identify flow route to TNW ⁵ : .
		Tributary stream order, if known:
	(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	☐ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: feet Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Pick List.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics:
	Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Oil or scum line along shore objects Fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) Physical markings/characteristics Didal gauges Other (list): Mean High Water Mark indicated by: Survey to available datum; Physical markings; Vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
Cha	emical Characteristics: aracterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: ntify specific pollutants, if known:
(iv) Biological Cl	Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings:

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

			☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
2.	Cha	ıract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)		Sical Characteristics: General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List. Explain:
			Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:
			Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.
	(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: racterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: httify specific pollutants, if known:
	(iii)	Bio	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
3.	Cha	All	wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List proximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALI
	THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Four Hole Swamp is a braided wetland/tributary system that flows year-round. It is visible in aerial photographs, is marked as wetland and a blue line tributary system on topographic maps, and has visible channels that appear in Lidar. Four Hole Swamp flows downstream and into the Edisto River, which is a TNW. Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:. Wetland B continues offsite to the east towards Hwy 27. The wetland appears to continue to the east of Hwy 27 to Four Hole Swamp. Wetland B is part of a larger wetland that is part of the Four Hole Swamp Wetland/Tributary system. Four Hole Swamp is a braided system with multiple tributary channels that flow through wetlands. Therefore, Wetland B is considered an abutting wetland.
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Wetland B 5.68 acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
DE	OLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.

E.

 ⁸See Footnote # 3.
 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain:		
	Other factors. Explain:		
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:		
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.		
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):		
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands:		
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.		
SEC	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.		
	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked		
A	and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Newkirk Environmental. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Concurs with conslusions Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Meggett loam National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: PFO1C, PFO1/2C. State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)		
	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): or Other (Name & Date): Google Earth 1/28/2021, 2/2023. Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):		

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Wetland B is jurisdictional and subject to regulation under Section 404 of the CWA.	

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): March 17, 2023

DISTRICT OFFICE FILE NAME AND NUMBER: ID Form 3 of 3: SAC-2021-01806 Colvin Tracts

ь.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: 3D FORM 5 01 5, SAC-2021-01000 CONMITTAGES
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: States South Corolling Country (against the angusts to Particular Country). City Bidgaville
	State: South Carolina County/parish/borough: Berkeley County City: Ridgeville
	Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 33.1413 °, Long80.2894 °.
	Universal Transverse Mercator:
	Name of nearest waterbody: Four Hole Swamp
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Edisto River
	Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03050205
	Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
	Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a
	different JD form.
	different 3D form.
D	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
υ.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Field Determination. Date(s): 1/24/2023
or.	GENOVI GUNDALDV OF FUNDAVOS
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
A.	RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

S

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

a.	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1			
		TNWs, including territorial seas		
		Wetlands adjacent to TNWs		
		Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs		
	_	Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs		
		Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs		
	\boxtimes	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs		
		Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs		
		Impoundments of jurisdictional waters		
		Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands		
		Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands		
	_	, , , <u>,</u>		

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: Tributary A: 4,675.27 linear feet, (1.07 acres) and Tributary B 1,158 linear feet (0.27 acre) width (ft) and/or

Wetlands: A: 16.42 ac; C: 5.56 ac; D: 9.60 ac; , E: 0.33 ac; , F: 21.50 ac; G: 2.71 ac; H: 0.76 ac; P: 0.04 ac; O: 1.63 ac; R: 0.17 ac; S: 2.59 ac; T: 0.40 ac; U: 0.84 ac; and V: 0.65 ac Total: 63.2 acres

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual Established by OHWM

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The project review area includes 8 linear features. The linear features were wholly or partially excavated from uplands, do not have defined bed or bank, have no evidence of a OHWM, and do not have relatively permanent flow. A portion of Linear Feature 1 flows through Wetland H, a portion of Linear Feature 2 flows through Wetland V, and a

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

portion of Linear Feature 4 flows through Wetland C. Where the Linear Features flow through Wetlands H the linear features are part of the respective wetland. Outside of Wetlands H and V, Linear Feature 1 and 2 are non-jurisdictional. The remaining Linear Features are excavated from uplands and are also non-jurisdictional. Therefore, Linear features 1-8 are not subject to regulation under Section 404 of the CWA. Several of the Linear Features provide a hydrologic connection from a wetland to a downstream water and the jurisdictional status of the applicable wetlands are discussed in this Form 3.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: .

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

** Tributary 1 (Timothy Creek) and Tributary B are the RPWs

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: Pick List
Drainage area: 825 acres
Average annual rainfall: inches
Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

☐ Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

	Project waters are 10 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW. Project waters are 10 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
	Identify flow route to TNW ⁵ : Tributary A (Timothy Creek to Four Hole Swamp to Edisto River (TNW). Tributary B to Tributary A to Four Hole Swamp to Edisto River (TNW). Tributary stream order, if known:
(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: □ Natural □ Artificial (man-made). Explain: □ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Likely man-altered.
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: Approximately 10 feet Average depth: Approximately 4 feet Average side slopes: 2:1.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
surrounding	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: The tributaries are likely stable as the gareas are forested and undeveloped. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: None. Tributary geometry: Meandering Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 1 %
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Perennial Flow (Tributary A and B) Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater) Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Confined. Characteristics:
	Subsurface flow: Unknown . Explain findings:
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting sediment sorting sediment sorting sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Oil or scum line along shore objects If fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) Mean High Water Mark indicated by: Survey to available datum; physical markings;

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. ⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

	□ physical markings/characteristics□ tidal gauges□ other (list):	vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.	
(iii	Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored Explain: The water quality is likely good. Identify specific pollutants, if known:	d, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).	
small fish, ii	nsects, and amphibians. Larger wildlife such as mammal	th):	
2. Ch	naracteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow	directly or indirectly into TNW	
(i)	(a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties:		
(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: Wetland A and Wetland U appear to be part of the same wetland. Wetland A continues offsite and then continues back onsite where it becomes Wetland U. Wetland A/U flows directly into Tributary A (Timothy Creek). Flow from Wetland A/U to Tributary A may occur seasonally and/or after rain events when surface water may be present. Wetland A/U abuts Tributary A.			
P, Q an appear		R flow directly to Tributary A. The flow from Wetlands D, E, F, in events when surface water may be present. Wetlands F appears to extend offsite and is part of onsite Wetland D.	
		ys to non-jurisdictional Linear Conveyance 1, which flows to ibutary A (Timothy Creek). The flow from Wetland H to surface water may be present.	
jurisdio	Intermittent flow. Explain: Wetland V flows to non-jurictional Linear Conveyance 3 that flows directly to Tribute occur seasonally and/or after rain events when surface we	ary A (Timothy Creek). The flow from Wetland V to Tributary	
Flow is:	: Intermittent flow. Explain: Wetland S and Wetland T a	ppear to be part of the same wetland. Wetland S continues	

Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: Wetland G flows directly into Tributary B. Flow from the Wetland G to Tributary B may occur seasonally and/or after rain events when surface water may be present. Wetland G abuts Tributary B.

offsite and then flows back onsite where it becomes Wetland T. Wetland S/T flows directly into Tributary A. Flow from Wetland S/T to Tributary A may occur seasonally and/or after rain events when surface water may be present. Wetland S/T

abuts Tributary A.

Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: Wetland C flows to non-jurisdictional Linear Conveyance 4, which flows through Wetland D and directly into Tributary A. The flow from Wetland C to Tributary A may occur seasonally and/or after rain events when surface water may be present.

Surface flow is: Discrete , and Discrete and Confines Characteristics:
Subsurface flow: Unkown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: ☐ Directly abutting: Tributary A: Wetland A, U, Q, R, S, T, P, D, E, and F Tributary B: Wetland G.
 Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Linear Conveyance 1 Flows through Wetland H and Linear Conveyance 2 flows through Wetland V. The Linear Conveyances 1 and 2 flow to Linear Conveyance 3 that flows directly to Tributary A (Timothy Creek). Linear Conveyance 4 flows along Wetland C and to Tributary A (Timothy Creek) ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 5-10 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 5-10 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.
(ii) Chemical Characteristics:
Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Appeared to be clear and good water quality. Identify specific pollutants, if known:
(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): □ Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): greater than 1 mile total □ Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: □ Habitat for: □ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: □ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: □ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: □ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: The wetlands may be utilized by various species of insects,
amphibians, reptiles, mammals and birds, all of which may use the wetlands for all or part of their lives, such as for foraging, nesting and/or for shelter

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 15

Approximately (178) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)		Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
Y	Wetland A	16.42 ac		
Y	Wetland C	5.56 ac;		
Y	Wetland D	9.60 ac		
Y	Wetland E	0.33 ac		
Y	Wetland F	21.50 ac		
Y	Wetland G	2.71 ac		
N	Wetland H	0.76 ac		
Y	Wetland P	0.04 ac		
Y	Wetland Q	1.63 ac		
Y	Wetland R	0.17 acre		
Y	Wetland S	2.59 ac		
Y	Wetland T	0.40 ac		
Y	Wetland U	0.84 ac		
N	Wetland V	0.65 ac		

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

The drainage area subject to this Approved Jurisdictional Basis Form 3 is approximately 872 acres in size. The subject drainage area includes approximately 178 acres of jurisdictional wetlands and a braided tributary with Tributary A (Timothy Creek) and Tributary B. Onsite wetlands A, U, Q, R, S, T, P, D, E, and F abut Tributary A (Timothy Creek) and onsite Wetland G abuts Tributary B. Portions of Tributaries A and B are located within the project area. Tributary B flows into Tributary A, which continues through the project area where if flows directly into Four Hole Swamp and then to the Edisto Rover, the TNW. Wetlands H and V are non-abutting wetlands and have a surface hydrologic connection to Tributary A through a series of non-jurisdictional linear features. The wetlands located within the drainage area of this reach included in this cumulative review provide a variety of functions that are important for the downstream waters. The wetlands not only provide habitat for various aquatic and terrestrial organisms, including a variety of insects, amphibians, reptiles, mammals and birds, but are also a source of food, nutrients, and carbon for organisms located downstream. The wetlands are especially important for the water quality of a watershed. Water runoff from adjacent uplands may contain pollutants, sediments, excess nutrients, etc. The runoff water that flows through the wetlands before entering the tributaries have the opportunity to be filtered out prior to flowing to downstream TNWs. In addition, excess water can be temporarily stored thereby minimizing potential flooding of downstream areas and can also slowly release water downstream to maintain seasonal flow volumes. Runoff water may also transport organisms, nutrients, and carbon from the wetlands into the tributaries, which continue to flow to downstream TNWs.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III D:

The drainage area subject to this Approved Jurisdictional Basis Form 3 is approximately 872 acres in size. The subject drainage area includes approximately 178 acres of jurisdictional wetlands and a braided tributary with Tributary A (Timothy Creek) and Tributary B. Onsite wetlands A, U, Q, R, S, T, P, D, E, and F abut Tributary A (Timothy Creek) and onsite Wetland G abuts Tributary B. Portions of Tributaries A and B are located within the project area. Tributary B flows into Tributary A, which continues through the project area where if flows directly into Four Hole Swamp and then to the Edisto River, the TNW. Wetlands H and V are non-abutting wetlands and have a surface hydrologic connection to Tributary A through a series of non-jurisdictional linear features. The wetlands located within the drainage area of this reach included in this cumulative review provide a variety of functions that are important for the downstream waters.

Regardless of whether the wetlands are abutting or non-abutting wetlands, wetlands located within the drainage area of this relevant reach of perennial tributaries A (Timothy Creek) and B, provide a variety of functions that are important for the downstream waters and the watershed as a whole. The wetlands not only provide habitat for various aquatic and terrestrial organisms, including a variety of insects, amphibians, reptiles, mammals and birds, but are also a source of food, nutrients, and carbon for organisms located downstream. The wetlands are especially important for the water quality of a watershed. Water runoff from adjacent uplands may contain pollutants, sediments, excess nutrients, etc. The runoff water that flows through the wetlands before entering the tributaries have the opportunity to be filtered out prior to flowing to downstream TNWs. In addition, excess water can be temporarily stored thereby minimizing potential flooding of downstream areas and can also slowly release water downstream to maintain seasonal flow volumes. Runoff water may also transport organisms, nutrients, and carbon from the wetlands into the tributaries, which continue to flow to downstream TNWs.

The project review area is located within the Four Hole Swamp, HUC 03050205-03. According to the SCDHEC Watershed Information for the Four Hole Swamp, there is a potential for major growth in the upper portion of the watershed in the Santee/eastern Orangeburg area associated with the major intermodal port. There is a lower potential for growth in the remainder of the watershed, which contains the Town of Holly Hill and portions of the Towns of Santee, Vance, Eutawville and Harleyville. A review of recent aerial photographs shows that little to no development is occurring within the drainage area. The drainage area consists primarily of forested lands. The non-abutting wetlands, H and V within the drainage area have a significant nexus to the downstream TNW as they provide a source of carbon and nutrients, can perform water quality functions, can provide water storage capabilities, can maintain seasonal flow volumes, and have the ability to transport organisms, carbon, nutrients, sediments, clean water, as well as any pollutants that may be present or could become present, to downstream TNWs. When wetlands are filled or altered, many of the services that they provide may be lost and the loss of those services affects downstream waters and TNWs.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
	TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that
	tributary is perennial: Onsite Tributary A and B are considered a braided tributary system with a drainage area of
	approximately 841 acres. Tributary A is a named tributary, Timothy Creek. Both onsite Tributary A and B are visible
	in aerial photographs and Lidar, and also appear as blue line tributaries on topographic maps. Based on this
	information Tributaries A and B were determined to have perennial flow.
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are
	jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows
	seasonally:
	seed straing .

and	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary A: 4,675.27 lf, (1.07 acres) Tributary B 1,158 linear feet (0.27 acre) Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. ■ Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. ■ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetlands A, U, Q, R, S, T, P, D, and F share a border with the onsite Tributary A (Timothy Creek) as the Tributary A flows through these wetlands; therefore, these wetlands have a direct hydrologic connection with the Tributary A. Wetland E is part of Wetland F but is separated from Wetland F by a road. Based on a jurisdictional determination NWP-2007-428, the US EPA determined on February 25, 2008, wetlands separated by artificial barriers, which is the onsite road, do not sever the areas from functioning as one wetland. Therefore, onsite wetlands E and F are considered to be one wetland. Wetland G shares a border with Tributary B as the Tributary flows through Wetland G; therefore, Wetland G has a direct hydrologic connection with Tributary B. Wetlands A, U, Q, R, S, T, P, D, E and F abut Tributary A and Wetland G abuts Tributary B.
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Total: 63.2 acres Wetlands A: 16.42 ac; C: 6 ac; D: 9.60 ac; , E: 0.33 ac; , F: 21.50 ac; G: 2.71 ac; H: 0.76 ac; P: 0.04 ac; Q: 1.63 ac; R: 0.17 ac; S: 2.59 ac; T: 0.40 ac; 0.84 ac; and V: 0.65 acres
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.9 As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

 $^{^8 \}rm See$ Footnote # 3. $^9 \, \rm To$ complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

Е.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: . Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above): The project review area includes 8 linear features. The linear features were wholly or partially excavated from uplands, do not have defined bed or bank, have no evidence of a OHWM, and do not have relatively permanent flow. A portion of Linear Feature 1 flows through Wetland H, a portion of Linear Feature 2 flow through Wetlands V, and a portion of Linear Feature 4 flows through Wetland C. Where the Linear Features flow through Wetlands H the linear features are part of the respective wetland. Outside of Wetlands H and V, Linear Feature 1 and 2 are non-jurisdictional. The remaining Linear Features are excavated from uplands and are also non-jurisdictional. Therefore, Linear features 1-8 are no subject to regulation under Section 404 of the CWA. Several of the Linear Features provide a hydrologic connection from a wetland to a downstream water and the jurisdictional status of the applicable wetlands are discussed in this Form 3.
•	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres. Utility of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Newkirk Environmental. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Concurs with conslusions Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.

 $^{^{10}}$ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .		
	Corps navigable waters' study: .		
	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:		
	USGS NHD data.		
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.		
	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:		
\boxtimes	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Meggett loam .		
\boxtimes	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: PFO1C, PFO1/2C.		
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s):		
	FEMA/FIRM maps: .		
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)		
\boxtimes	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):		
	or ☑ Other (Name & Date): Google Earth 1/28/2021, 2/2023.		
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .		
	Applicable/supporting case law: .		
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .		
	Other information (please specify):		

 $B. \ ADDITIONAL \ COMMENTS \ TO \ SUPPORT \ JD: \ Tributary \ A \ and \ B \ and \ Wetlands \ A, C, D, E, F, \ G, \ H, P, \ Q, R, \ S, \ T, \ U, and \ V \ and \ jurisdictional \ and \ subject to \ regulation \ under Section 404 \ of the CWA. \ Non-jurisdictional \ linear \ features \ are \ also \ present.$

NOTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL OPTIONS AND PROCESS AND REQUEST FOR APPEAL

Applicant:	File Number:	Date:
Attached is:	See Section below	
INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT (S	tandard Permit or Letter of permission)	A
PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permit or Letter of permission)		В
PERMIT DENIAL		С
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL D	ETERMINATION	D
PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONA	L DETERMINATION	Е

SECTION I - The following identifies your rights and options regarding an administrative appeal of the above decision. Additional information may be found at http://usace.army.mil/inet/functions/cw/cecwo/reg or Corps regulations at 33 CFR Part 331.

A: INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or object to the permit.

- ACCEPT: If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the district engineer for final
 authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your work is authorized. Your
 signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and waive all rights
 to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations associated with the permit.
- OBJECT: If you object to the permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions therein, you may request that the permit be modified accordingly. You must complete Section II of this form and return the form to the district engineer. Your objections must be received by the district engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice, or you will forfeit your right to appeal the permit in the future. Upon receipt of your letter, the district engineer will evaluate your objections and may: (a) modify the permit to address all of your concerns, (b) modify the permit to address some of your objections, or (c) not modify the permit having determined that the permit should be issued as previously written. After evaluating your objections, the district engineer will send you a proffered permit for your reconsideration, as indicated in Section B below.

B: PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or appeal the permit

- ACCEPT: If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the district engineer for final
 authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your work is authorized. Your
 signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and waive all rights
 to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations associated with the permit.
- APPEAL: If you choose to decline the proffered permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions therein, you may appeal the declined permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.
- C: PERMIT DENIAL: You may appeal the denial of a permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.
- D: APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You may accept or appeal the approved JD or provide new information.
- ACCEPT: You do not need to notify the Corps to accept an approved JD. Failure to notify the Corps within 60 days of the date of this notice, means that you accept the approved JD in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the approved JD.
- APPEAL: If you disagree with the approved JD, you may appeal the approved JD under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the Division Engineer, South Atlantic Division, 60 Forsyth St, SW, Atlanta, GA 30308-8801. This form must be received by the Division Engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.
- E: PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You do not need to respond to the Corps regarding the preliminary JD. The Preliminary JD **is not appealable**. If you wish, you may request an approved JD (which may be appealed), by contacting the Corps district for further instruction. Also you may provide new information for further consideration by the Corps to reevaluate the JD.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The appeal is limited to a review of the administrative record, the Corps memorandum for the record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information to this form to clarify where your reasons or objections are addressed in the administrative record.) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The appeal is limited to a review of the administrative record, the Corps memorandum for the record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information to clarify the location of information to clarify the location of or information to the corps with the location of information to clarify the location of information to clarify the location of information to clarify the location of information to the location of information to clarify the location of information to the location of information to clarify the location of information to clarify the location of information to clarify the location of information to administrative record. However, you may provide additional formation to large the location of information to clarify the location of information to clarify the location of information to large the location of information to administrative record. However, you may provide additional formation to administrative record. However, you may provide a state-and the large three locations regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele administrative expeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division (60 Forsyth St. SW). Alternative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division (60 Forsyth St. SW). Alternative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division (60 Forsyth St. SW). Alternative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division (60 Forsyth St. SW). Alternative Appeals Review	SECTION II - REQUEST FOR APPEAL or OBJECTIONS TO AN INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT			
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The appeal is limited to a review of the administrative record, the Corps memorandum for the record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to larify the location of information that is leaved; in the administrative record. However, you may provide additional information to the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to the administrative record. However, you may provide additional information to the formation that is already in the administrative record. However, you may provide additional information to the information that is already in the administrative record. However, you may provide additional information to the information that has information to the provide additional information to the information that has information to the information that has not information to the information to study. It is already in the administrative record. However, you may now to the study of the provide and the letter to which this molification is attacked. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. See The Administrative eccord, the Corps hope of the person of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Telephone number:	REASONS FOR APPEAL OR OBJECTIONS: (Describ	e your reasons for appealing the d	ecision or your objections to an	
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The appeal is limited to a review of the administrative record, the Corps memorandum for the record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provised additional information to learly the location of information that is already in the administrative record. However, you may provise ions regarding this decision and for the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. If you have questions regarding the appeal process you may always a supplementation of the propeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigation. Telephone number:	initial proffered permit in clear concise statements. You may attac			
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:	or objections are addressed in the administrative record.)			
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demands of the appeal process was all and a sale and the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demands of the appeal process was all and a sale and the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demandant of the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. By the demands of the appeal process was all and a sale and the administrative record. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The appeal is limited to a review	w of the administrative record, the	Corps memorandum for the	
you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record. POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:	record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental	information that the review office	r has determined is needed to	
POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION: If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also contact: Jason W. Steele Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:	you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record.			
process you may contact the Corps biologist who signed the letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
letter to which this notification is attached. The name and telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. Administrative Appeals Review Officer USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:			ding the appeal process you may	
telephone number of this person is given at the end of the letter. USACE South Atlantic Division 60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:			nneals Review Officer	
60 Forsyth St, SW Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
Atlanta, GA 30308-8801 (404) 562-5137 RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:	The state of the s			
RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:		Atlanta, GA 30308-8801		
consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:	DIGITO OF FIVEDAY AV	` /		
notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations. Date: Telephone number:				
Date: Telephone number:				
	neare of any one investigation, and will have the opportunity to pe		Telephone number	
Signature of appellant or agent.		Daile.	Totophone number.	
CARACTURE OF MUNICIPALITY OF MENTIN	Signature of appellant or agent.			